Contents lists available at [ScienceDirect](http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/journal/09258388)

Journal of Alloys and Compounds

iournal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/jallcom

Study of polycrystalline $Cu₂ZnSnS₄$ films by Raman scattering

P.A. Fernandes^{a, b,∗}, P.M.P. Salomé^{a, 1}, A.F. da Cunha^a

^a I3N – Departamento de Física, Universidade de Aveiro, Campus Universitário de Santiago, 3810-193 Aveiro, Portugal ^b Departamento de Física, Instituto Superior de Engenharia do Porto, Instituto Politécnico do Porto, Rua Dr. António Bernardino de Almeida, 431, 4200-072 Porto, Portugal

a r t i c l e i n f o

Article history: Received 5 February 2011 Received in revised form 29 March 2011 Accepted 17 April 2011 Available online 27 April 2011

Keywords: Cu₂ZnSnS₄ Thin film Raman **XRD EBSD**

A B S T R A C T

Cu2ZnSnS4 (CZTS) is a p-type semiconductor that has been seen as a possible low-cost replacement for Cu(In,Ga)Se₂ in thin film solar cells. So far compound has presented difficulties in its growth, mainly, because of the formation of secondary phases like ZnS, Cu_xSnS_{x+1}, Sn_xS_y, Cu_{2−x}S and MoS₂. X-ray diffraction analysis (XRD), which is mostly used for phase identification cannot resolve some of these phases from the kesterite/stannite CZTS and thus the use of a complementary technique is needed. Raman scattering analysis can help distinguishing these phases not only laterally but also in depth. Knowing the absorption coefficient and using different excitation wavelengths in Raman scattering analysis, one is capable of profiling the different phases present in multi-phase CZTS thin films.

This work describes in a concise form the methods used to grow chalcogenide compounds, such as, CZTS, Cu_xSnS_{x+1} , Sn_xS_y and cubic ZnS based on the sulphurization of stacked metallic precursors. The results of the films' characterization by XRD, electron backscatter diffraction and scanning electron microscopy/energy dispersive spectroscopy techniques are presented for the CZTS phase. The limitation of XRD to identify some of the possible phases that can remain after the sulphurization process are investigated. The results of the Raman analysis of the phases formed in this growth method and the advantage of using this technique in identifying them are presented. Using different excitation wavelengths it is also analysed the CZTS film in depth showing that this technique can be used as non destructive methods to detect secondary phases.

© 2011 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

 $Cu₂ZnSnS₄$ (CZTS) with the kesterite/stannite structure is a ptype semiconductor with an absorption coefficient higher than 10^{-4} cm⁻¹ and a band gap energy close to 1.5 eV [\[1,2\].](#page-6-0) Because of these characteristics and the fact that it uses no expensive or toxic elements like In, Ga or Se it is seen as a future replacement for Cu(In,Ga)Se₂ (CIGS) in thin film solar cells. However, CIGS laboratory solar cells achieved efficiencies up to 20.3 % [\[3\],](#page-6-0) while for CZTS solar cells the maximum reported efficiency is 6.8% [\[4\].](#page-6-0) Before exploring the reasons for this difference, first it is necessary to understand the problem of phase identification in CZTS. X-ray diffraction (XRD) has been widely used as the main tool to analyse the structure of CZTS thin films. In this paper, we are going to show that XRD alone is not sufficient to resolve the different phases that may be present and that Raman scattering is a useful complementary technique not only to identify secondary phases but also to localize them spatially.

The Raman scattering technique was already used for the study of chalcopyrite compounds such as $\text{CuInS}_2[5]$. The in depth study of the absorber layer of solar cells using Raman scattering has been tested using three different approaches:

- 1. Takei et al. [\[6\]](#page-6-0) performed a cross sectional scanning of the absorber layer and studied the spectra;
- 2. Calvo-Barrio et al. [\[7\]](#page-6-0) changed the depth of analysis focusing the measurement point deeper in the absorber layer;
- 3. the most common approach applies successively sputtering runs to expose the surface of the deeper layers and analyses each exposed surfaces. Álvarez-García et al. [\[8\]](#page-6-0) used this methods combined with Auger electron spectroscopy to study the quality of CuInS₂ polycrystalline films.

The need of cutting the samples to look at the cross section and the use of sputtering to erode the surface make both techniques destructive. The main disadvantage of the second method refers to the time consumption needed to acquire a workable Raman signal intensity. Note that thin film absorber layers are characterized by a large absorption coefficient. The in depth method that

[∗] Corresponding author at: I3N – Departamento de Física, Universidade de Aveiro, Campus Universitário de Santiago, 3810-193 Aveiro, Portugal.

E-mail addresses: pafernandes@ua.pt (P.A. Fernandes), psalome@ua.pt (P.M.P. Salomé), antonio.cunha@ua.pt (A.F. da Cunha).

¹ Present address: Uppsala University, Solid State Electronics, P.O. Box 534, SE-75121 Uppsala, Sweden.

^{0925-8388/\$} – see front matter © 2011 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved. doi:[10.1016/j.jallcom.2011.04.097](dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jallcom.2011.04.097)

Table 1

Main XRD peaks and orientation planes for CZTS, tetragonal and cubic phases of CTS and cubic-ZnS. Estimation of the peak deviation, Δ , of each phase in comparison to the CZTS peak.

we propose in this work combines several wavelengths with different focus depths. The use of longer wavelengths allows deeper focus on the sample without loss of Raman signal intensity. This is due to an inverse relationship between wavelength and absorption coefficient. Another feature refers to the possibility of using excitation wavelength with an energy close to the band gap of the material. This means that the measurement conditions are in a quasi-resonant mode which significantly increases the intensity of the Raman signal [\[9\].](#page-6-0)

The first part of this work, Section 2, compiles the information of CZTS and related chalcogenide phasesXRD analysis.Abrief description of the structural properties of this compounds is presented. The main part of this section is devoted to explaining the limitations of this technique in terms of phase identification.

A brief description of the details used to grow the various phases are presented in Section 3. The experimental procedure described in the section is based in previously published work [\[2,10–13\],](#page-6-0) except for the growth of the cubic-ZnS phase.

The Section [4.1](#page-2-0) presents preliminary results of the characterization of a CZTS film based on structural and phase identification using the XRD and electron Backscatter diffraction (EBSD) techniques. The composition of the CZTS film is also analysed using energy dispersive spectroscopy (EDS) and inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry (ICP-MS). In Section [4.2](#page-2-0) it is presented the Raman scattering analysis' results for the various binary and ternary phases that may be present in a CZTS film. These results are very important for the ensuing discussion. In the last part, Section [4.3,](#page-3-0) a detailed study of the CZTS depth Raman scattering analysis employing several excitation wavelengths is shown. Additional information can be obtained when compared with the results obtained by XRD and EBSD in Section [4.1.](#page-2-0)

2. XRD analysis

CZTS crystallizes with the kesterite/stannite structure, I-4/I-42m space group which is of the adamantine family [\[14\].](#page-6-0) Its unit cell parameters are a : 5.435 Å and c : 10.843 Å [\[15\].](#page-6-0) Since it is a quaternary compound, it is possible that at the end of the growth process secondary and ternary phases may remain as well. The most likely to persist are $Cu_{2-x}S$, ZnS, Sn_xS_v, MoS₂ and different phases of Cu_xSnS_{x+1} depending on the growth conditions.

 $Cu_{2-x}S$ phases are easy to identify in XRD when is present in a phase mixture with CZTS because its diffraction peaks are clearly distinct from the CZTS ones. Cu_{2−x}S phases can also be easily removed with a KCN treatment [\[11\]](#page-6-0) and thus are not seen as a problem.

 $\text{Sn}_{x}\text{S}_{v}$ phases can be SnS, SnS_{2} and $\text{Sn}_{2}\text{S}_{3}[10]$, and are also easily identifiable by XRD. Our preliminary studies have shown that these phases are only present when the composition of the films is very Sn rich [\[10\].](#page-6-0)

Regarding ZnS, the identification of this phase using XRD is difficult if not impossible. The most probable ZnS phase to form is the cubic phase with a lattice parameter, a, of 5.410Å. The amount of ZnS present in CZTS thin films is expected to be small and since there is only a small difference between the unit cell sizes, all of the XRD peaks of this structure are less than 0.2◦ apart from the CZTS ones as is shown in Table 1.

Among the different Cu_xSnS_{x+1} (CTS) phases, the ones that may be formed during the growth of CZTS are tetragonal-Cu₂SnS₃, cubic-Cu₂SnS₃ and orthorhombic-Cu₃SnS₄[\[2,12\].](#page-6-0) From these, only the orthorhombic-Cu₃SnS₄ is easy to identify using XRD. The cubic and the tetragonal have the same problem as ZnS, which is the unit cell sizes are quite similar to the CZTS ones. For the cubic phase, the a constant is 5.430 Å whereas for the tetragonal a has the value 5.413Å with c taking the value of 10.824Å [\[12\].](#page-6-0) The peak proximity for CZTS and CTS compounds are also presented in Table 1.

Itis clear that considering the results shown in Table 1,the phase identification of samples constituted by a phase mixture can be a difficult task if only the XRD technique is used. The use of High Resolution XRD technique allied with numerical methods, such as Rietveld refinement, can help, but it will always depend on the quality of the sample, namely the crystallinity, compactness and strain characteristics.

3. Experimental methods

3.1. Preparation of the films

The method used for the growth of the CZTS, CTS, ZnS and Sn_xS_v films consisted in the deposition of metallic precursor layers using dc-magnetron sputtering and a final annealing /sulphurization process [\[11–13\].](#page-6-0)

The process starts with the substrate cleaning $(3 \text{ cm} \times 3 \text{ cm} \text{ soda line glass})$ which consist in successive ultrasound baths of acetone/ethanol/deionised water and its subsequent drying process with a N_2 flow. Next, the deposition of Mo back contact was performed by dc-magnetron sputtering from a Mo target with purity 3 N as described by Salomé et al. [\[16\].](#page-6-0) The metallic precursors were deposited sequentially using dc-magnetron sputtering for the ternary and quaternary compounds. The deposition order used was Mo/Zn/Cu/Sn/ and /Sn/Cu/ for CZTS and CTS, respec-tively [\[11,12\].](#page-6-0) For the growth of the binary sulphides only 1 deposition was necessary [\[13\].](#page-6-0) All precursor depositions were done under an Ar atmosphere, an operating pressure of ²×10−³ mbar and power densities of 0.16Wcm−2, 0.36Wcm−² and 0.11Wcm−² for Cu, Zn and Sn, respectively. The distance between the target and the substrate was set to 8 cm. The purity of the targets was 5 N for Cu and 4N for Zn and Sn. In situ thickness' monitoring was performed with a quartz crystal monitor. No Mo layer was used in the growth of the binary and ternary compounds.

The crystal formation was performed in a tubular furnace in a nitrogen plus sulphur vapour atmosphere at a constant working pressure and a N_2 flow rate of 40 ml/min. The sulphur pellets with purity 5 N, were evaporated at 130 ◦ C in a temperature controlled quartz tube source. The furnace temperature increased at 10 ◦C/min. The maximum temperature was kept constant during 10 min and then the system was set to cool down naturally. Some growth parameters are summarized in [Table](#page-2-0) 2.

Secondary phases, such as Cu_{2−x}S, that were formed during the CZTS and CTS crystallization process were eliminated using a KCN chemical treatment [\[11\].](#page-6-0) The samples were, sequentially, submitted to the KCN solution at 10% (w/w), a solution of ethanol/deionised water at 50 $\frac{1}{2}$ (v/v) and finally deionised water. Each step had duration of 2 min. Finally, all samples were dried with a N_2 gas flux.

3.2. Sample characterization

The composition of the films was analysed by EDS using a S4100 Hitachi with a Rontec EDS system and confirmed with an ICP-MS Thermo X Series. XRD was done with a PHILIPS PW 3710 with a CuK α line of 1.5406 Å. SEM and EBSD measurements

Fig. 1. (a) SEM micrograph of the CZTS surface, (b) EBSD image and (c) EBSD fitting confirming the presence of CZTS.

Table 2 Growth parameters for CZTS, CTS, ZnS and Sn_xS_y phases.

Phase	Prec. order	T_{surf} (°C)	Pressure (mbar)
CZTS	SLG/Zn/Cu/Sn	520	0.5
tetrag.- $Cu2SnS3$	SLG/Sn/Cu	300	0.5
$cubic-Cu2SnS3$	SLG/Sn/Cu	350	0.5
Orth.- $Cu3SnS4$	SLG/Sn/Cu	520	0.5
ZnS	SLG/Zn	520	5.0
Sn_xS_v	SLG/Sn	520	5.0

were done with a FEI Quanta 400 FEG ESEM device equipped with an EDAX Genesis X4M system. Raman scattering spectroscopy was done using a Jobin Yvon T64000 Raman scattering system with an Olympus microscope equipped with a $100 \times$ magnification lens and in the backscattering configuration. All the Raman scattering measurements were performed using an excitation wavelength of 488 nm, with the exception of the measurements presented in the depth study, Section [4.3,](#page-3-0) where the excitation wavelengths used were 488 nm, 514 nm, 633 nm and 785 nm. In order to avoid structural changes due to the thermal effect the Raman measurements were performed at low power density. This was accomplished using an attenuating filter which decreased the power of the incident laser beam to 0.30–0.45 mW for the wavelengths 488 nm and 512 nm and to 0.40–0.50 mW for 633 nm and 785 nm.

XRD analysis was also used to confirm that the CTS samples were single phase. Detailed results were presented by Fernandes et al. [\[2,12\].](#page-6-0)

The absorption coefficient was estimated from the transmittance and reflectance data, measured with a Shimadzu UV3600 spectrophotometer, using the following equation:

$$
\alpha = -\frac{1}{h} \frac{-(1 - R)^2 + \sqrt{(1 - R)^4 + 4T^2R^2}}{2TR^2} \tag{1}
$$

where α is the absorption coefficient, h the thickness of the sample, R the reflectance and T the transmittance.

4. Results and discussion

4.1. Preliminary CZTS film analysis

The sample's composition was analysed by EDS and confirmed using ICP-MS for metallic elements (not shown).. The atomic percentage, atomic ratios and experimental uncertainties, measured by EDS, for the CZTS and CTS phases, are shown in Table 3. These compositions are close to the ones reported by Katagiri et al. [\[4\]](#page-6-0) for their CZTS solar cell with best efficiency. In Table 3 no sulphur content is presented for these samples, but for samples grown directly on SLG using the same sulphurization conditions, EDS measurements presented concentrations between 48.5% and 51.3% for all the studied samples, except for the sample where Sn_xS_y phases were grown. In this case the sulphur concentration was close to 59%. This means that the sulphurization of the precursors was completed. Neither EDS nor ICP-MS are capable of measuring the quantity of sulphur present in the sample when Mo is present. EDS cannot resolve sulphur from Mo due to the overlapping energy peaks, namely Mo L α and S K α . A method to overcome this problem is to grow the compound directly over the glass substrate to avoid the presence of Mo. This approach does not allow the solar cell conclusion (no back contact is deposited) and the absorber layer properties could be altered due to the fact that it is grown on a different substrate. Wavelength dispersive spectroscopy (WDS) which present a higher resolution than EDS can perform the deconvolution of the energy peaks of sulphur and Mo elements. ICP-MS present some limitations to the quantification of the sulphur mainly due to ionization and oxygen interference problems. This technique is more suitable to quantify the stacked metallic precursors.

The SEM micrograph of the CZTS sample surface, in Fig. 1(a), shows a rough film with some voids. EBSD was performed on this film. Several random points were analyzed using the EBSD method and all the results showed single phase CZTS. The Fig. 1(b) presents the EBSD image and its fitting is shown in Fig. 1(c). This analysis only showed the presence of CZTS with the kesterite structure.

The EBDS structural analysis was confirmed with XRD and the results are shown in [Fig.](#page-3-0) 2. Besides the Mo, only CZTS with the kesterite structure was found with its diffractions peaks identified.

4.2. Raman spectra of CZTS and other binary and ternary phases in the CZTS film

Before analysing CZTS films possibly containing several secondary phases it is crucial to know at what energies occur the Raman peaks for the different phases. In [Fig.](#page-3-0) 3, the Raman scattering spectra of several phases, most likely to appear in CZTS films, are presented with their most prominent peaks identified. CZTS is known to have the following strong peaks: 288–289 cm−¹ and 338–339 cm⁻¹[\[17,10,18\]](#page-6-0) as shown in [Fig.](#page-3-0) 3(a). According to Him-

Table 3

Atomic percentage and ratios for metallic elements for CZTS and CTS samples using EDS measurements.

Fig. 2. XRD diffraction pattern of a CZTS film deposited on Mo coated soda lime glass.

mirch and Haeuseler [\[17\]](#page-6-0) the strongest peak is attributed to the A_1 symmetry and it is related with the vibration of the S atoms. There is a broad peak between 368–373 cm⁻¹ and a shoulder of the main peak at 351 cm⁻¹[\[10,18\].](#page-6-0) The latter two peaks appear only in certain conditions which are still not clearly identified. The Raman analysis does not include possible CZTS peaks lower than 200 cm⁻¹. Hexagonal MoS₂, Fig. 3(b), has three peaks, 288 cm⁻¹ (E_{1g} mode), 384 cm $^{-1}$ (E_{2g}¹ mode) and 410 cm $^{-1}$ (A_{1g} mode), these peaks and symmetries assignments are according to Sandoval et al. [\[19\]](#page-6-0) and the peak locations were confirmed by Fernandes et al. [\[20\].](#page-6-0) ZnS can be identified by the strong peak at 352 cm^{-1} (A₁/E₁(LO)), Fig. 3-c), and a weaker one at 275 cm⁻¹ (A₁/E₁(TO) mode)[\[21\].](#page-6-0) For the tetragonal Cu₂SnS₃, Fig. 3(d), there is a big shoulder in the region between 280 cm^{-1} and 290 cm^{-1} and it appears that the peak maximum is at 297 cm−1. This phase presents two other peaks at 337 cm−¹ and 352 cm−1[\[2,12\].](#page-6-0) However, previous studies show that this phase is not preferential at the high growth temperatures of CZTS [\[2\]](#page-6-0) and therefore is not likely to remain after the growth of CZTS process is concluded. Cubic Cu₂SnS₃, Fig. 3(e), has three visible peaks at 267 cm⁻¹, 303 cm⁻¹ and 356 cm⁻¹[\[2,12\].](#page-6-0) Orthorhombic Cu₃SnS₄, Fig. 3(f), has only one peak visible at 318 cm−1[\[2,12\].](#page-6-0) SnS has three peaks at 160 cm⁻¹, 190 cm⁻¹ and 219 cm⁻¹[\[22\],](#page-6-0) Fig. 3(g), and SnS₂, Fig. 3(h), has one strong peak at 314 cm⁻¹ (A_{1g} mode) and a weaker one (not detected) at 215 cm⁻¹ (E_g mode) [\[22\],](#page-6-0) if the crystal quality is good enough, other weak peaks may appear at lower energies. In the course of this work we were not able to grow single-phase $Sn₂S₃$ thin films, but from the literature it is known that this compound has peaks at 52 cm^{-1} , 60 cm⁻¹ and 307 cm⁻¹[\[22\].](#page-6-0) Cu_{2-x}S compounds have their strongest peak at 475 cm⁻¹ (A₁ (LO) mode) and a weaker one (not detected) at 264 cm^{-1} (A₁ (TO) mode) [\[23\]](#page-6-0) as shown in Fig. 3(i).

[Table](#page-4-0) 4 shows the list of Raman peaks' position and references of the chalcogenide compounds used to identify the phases present.

4.3. CZTS films' depth Raman scattering analysis

Now that the phases, most likely to appear when growing CZTS films, were individually studied, it is then possible to make a more detailed analysis of multi-phase thin films. Before that, let us consider the absorption coefficient behaviour as a function of the

Fig. 3. Raman scattering spectra for different single phase reference compounds. The excitation laser wavelength was 488 nm.

Table 4

Summary of the Raman peaks location of the CZTS, ternary and binary phases.

Phase	Raman scattering peak (cm^{-1})	References
CZTS	289, 339, 350, 370	[17, 10, 18]
Tetrag.-CTS	297, 337, 352	[2,12]
Cubic-CTS	267, 303, 356	[2,12]
Orth.-CTS	318	[2,12]
Cubic-ZnS	275, 352	[21]
SnS	160, 190, 219	[22]
SnS ₂	314, 215	[22]
Sn ₂ S ₃	32, 60, 307	[22]
$Cu2-xS$	264, 475	$[23]$
MoS ₂	288.384.410	[19,20]

Fig. 4. Absorption coefficient as function of wavelength for the CZTS thin film under study.

wavelength for CZTS, as shown in Fig. 4. This Figure presents the behaviour of a direct band gap semiconductor with a maximum value of absorption coefficient just below 4×10^4 cm⁻¹. For a semiconductor, the light penetration depth, d, is roughly given by $d = 1/\alpha$, where α is the absorption coefficient. In the case of Raman scattering analysis using the back scattering configuration, one must consider that the light must return and therefore the equation is then $d \approx 1/(2\alpha)$. Using this equation and knowing α , one can roughly estimate the depth to which most of the Raman scattering analysis extends when using different excitation laser wavelengths. Using the data from the curve shown in Fig. 4, it is possible to estimate the effective penetration depth shown in Table 5. It can be seen that for a variation of the wavelength between 488 and 633 nm, there is practically no difference in the effective penetration depth, ranging from 140 to 170 nm, but if a wavelength of 785 nm is used it is possible to go down to 400 nm.

Fig. 5 presents the Raman spectra of the CZTS thin film using different excitation laser wavelengths. The main peak of CZTS, P1, is located at 338–339 cm⁻¹, and is the strongest peak at all excitation wavelengths. This is strong evidence that CZTS with the kesterite/stannite structure is the dominant phase present. The second peak of CZTS, P2, at 287–288 cm⁻¹, is also present for all excitation wavelengths. The third peak of CZTS, P3, located at 367–368 cm $^{-1}$, is much more intense at the excitation wavelengths of 633 nm and 785 nm. This behaviour is more evident for the peak

Table 5 Raman scattering analysis' effective depths for different excitation wavelengths.

Wavelength (nm)	Absorption (cm^{-1})	Analysis' effective depth (nm)
488	3.5×10^{4}	140
514	3.4×10^{4}	150
633	3.0×10^{4}	170
785	1.5×10^{4}	400

Fig. 5. Raman scattering analysis, of the CZTS films, using different excitation laser wavelengths.

P4 at 375 cm⁻¹ which presents a higher intensity at the wavelength of 785 nm. A shoulder of the main peak, S1, at 350 cm−1, is present at all excitation wavelengths. The spectra presented in Fig. 5 also show a peak, P5 located close to 263 cm−1. A more detailed analysis of the shoulder S1 and the peaks P3 and P4 is shown in [Fig.](#page-5-0) 6.

A special attention is taken for the S1 shoulder analysis, due to the fact that cubic-ZnS has a peak close to 350 cm−1. The deconvo-

Fig. 6. Details of the CZTS Raman scattering spectra showing the shoulder S1, using different excitation laser wavelengths. Inset graphs show the Raman spectra for wavenumbers between 360 cm−¹ and 380 cm−1.

lution of this shoulder is presented in Fig. 6. These results show 2 peaks located close to 348 and to 352–353 cm−1. Comparing this data with the results shown in [Fig.](#page-3-0) 3(c) the higher energy peak can be assigned to the cubic-ZnS vibration mode. On the other hand the Raman mode at 348 cm⁻¹ is attributed to CZTS. Note that no other phase with Raman modes close to these values are detected in these spectra. The detection of ZnS phases could be improved by performing Raman spectroscopy with a UV laser. However, it is not clear that this would help because penetration depth would be much lower. The closer one is the cubic-CTS with a mode close to 356 cm⁻¹. The detection of peaks ≈348 cm⁻¹ and ≈353 cm⁻¹ allows the detection of the cubic-ZnS in a solid mixture of the CZTS with this phase. Other results shown in Fig. 6 refer to peaks P4 at 375 cm⁻¹. For the excitation wavelength 488 nm, 514 nm and 633 nm and for Raman wavenumbers higher than 370 cm⁻¹ the peak sum (in blue) presents lower values than the measured ones. These results are presented in more detail in the inset graphs of Fig. 6. These differences mean that the fittings were not good enough and it is likely that the 375 cm⁻¹ modes are missing in these analyses. Unfortunately, this task has not been realized due to difficulties associated with the fitting of modes whose intensities are so low. Despite that, it seems that the peak P4 at 375 cm−¹ attributed to the CZTS phase became more active for the wavelength 785 nm. This behaviour could be related to quasi resonant measurement conditions, but further studies must be carried out to confirm this statement.

Fig. 7. Analysis of the CZTS Raman peak, 338 cm⁻¹ and the Cu_{2−x}S Raman peak at 475 cm−¹ using different excitation laser wavelengths.

In general the Raman scattering spectra for the excitation lines of 488 nm and 514 nm are very similar; this is in accordance with the similar effective penetration depth values estimated before, 140 nm and 150 nm, respectively. However for the excitation wavelength of 633 nm, for which the analysis' effective depth of 170 nm was estimated, there are already some identifiable differences. A peak at 306 cm−¹ is found which is attributed to the binary chalcogenide Sn₂S₃ with Raman mode at 307 cm⁻¹. For the excitation wavelength of 785 nm, an additional peak at 315 cm⁻¹ is found. This peak is assigned to the $SnS₂$ compound, which has a peak at 314 cm−1.

It is likely that below 170 nm $Sn₂S₃$ is present in small traces since the peaks found are small when compared with the CZTS peaks. For a deeper region, below 400 nm, the small peak at 315 cm^{-1} points to the presence of SnS₂ phase, but as for Sn₂S₃, due to its low intensity only a residual quantity is expected.

The Raman scattering data, in Fig. 7, allow us, also, to evaluate the depth to which the Cu_{2−x}S phases extend. That is done by studying the evolution of the intensity ratios between the main CZTS peak, at 338–339 cm⁻¹ and that of Cu_{2−x}S, at 475 cm⁻¹. This was done for the excitation wavelengths of 514 nm, 633 nm and 785 nm as is shown in [Table](#page-6-0) 6. We conclude that the $Cu_{2-x}S$ phases are mostly located at the surface of the sample since the I338/I 475 value increases rapidly with the excitation wavelength, which means that for increased depth there is more signal coming from the CZTS than from $Cu_{2-x}S$. After this analysis the films were subjected to a KCN treatment and the Raman scattering Cu_{2−x}S peaks disappeared for all the excitation wavelengths, which may confirm that the $Cu_{2-x}S$ compounds were distributed at the surface.

Table 6

Intensity ratio of the 338 cm⁻¹ CZTS Raman scattering peak and of the 475 cm⁻¹ Cu_{2−x}S one.

The XRD results, presented in [Fig.](#page-3-0) 2 showing single phase CZTS films, seem to be in disagreement with the Raman scattering results shown in [Fig.](#page-4-0) 5, namely for the $Sn₂S₃$ and $SnS₂$ phases, which can be resolved by both XRD and Raman scattering. Cubic ZnS phase is also detected in the films as the deconvolutions showed. In μ -Raman scattering technique, unlike XRD, the interaction volume is more confined, allowing a more localized or detailed analysis of the sample. This feature helps to detect residual phases that could be present. So residual phases like the ones we are describing can be hidden in noise level, because these quantities are below the detection capabilities of XRD

5. Conclusion

The main result of this work was the demonstration that Raman scattering as complementary technique to XRD in the structural analysis of polycrystalline CZTS films is a very valuable tool, allowing for an increased ability in resolving the different secondary phases that may be present. It was also demonstrated that with this approach it is possible to locate the various phases tridimensionally.

We have shown that our CZTS films prepared with chemical composition, verified by complementary techniques such as EDS and ICP-MS, identical to the one reported by Katagiri et al. [4] for their record efficiency CZTS solar cells, still presented undesired phases such as $Sn₂S₃$. The results also hint for the presence of $SnS₂$ in residual quantities due to the weak relative intensity of the corresponding Raman scattering peaks. These findings could not be confirmed by XRD and EBSD analysis since they pointed to a single phase CZTS film. Our analysis confirms that our samples are composed mainly by the CZTS phase, and such statement cannot be done using only XRD analysis. Using Raman scattering techniques we also detected the presence of cubic-ZnS. Further Raman studies using UV laser excitation may be helpful to confirm this finding.

Finally, this work shows that preparing single phase CZTS film is not a simple task and thus a depth study of the influence of the secondary phases on solar cell performance is required to go beyond the current best results.

Acknowledgements

P.A. Fernandes thanks the financial support of the Fundação para a Ciência e Tecnologia (FCT), Portugal, through a PhD grant number SFRH/BD/49220/2008. FCT is also acknowledged for the financial support of the National Electronic Microscopy Network, whose services we have used, through the grant REDE/1509. The authors thank C.C. Ribeiro of the INEB/UP Associated Lab. for the Raman scattering measurements.

References

- [1] H.Katagiri,M.Nishimura, T. Onozawa, S.Maruyama,M. Fujita, T. Sega, T.Watanabe, PCC-Nagaoka'97, IEEE, 1997, p. 1003.
- [2] P.A. Fernandes, P.M.P. Salomé, A.F. da Cunha, Phys. Status Solidi C 7 (3–4)(2010) 901.
- [3] P. Jackson, D. Hariskos, E. Lotter, S. Paetel, R. Wuerz, R. Menner, W. Wischmann, M. Powalla, Prog. Photovoltaics Res. Appl. (2011) [doi:10.1002/pip.1078.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/pip.1078)
- [4] H. Katagiri, K. Jimbo, S. Yamada, T. Kamimura, W.S. Maw, T. Fukano, T. Ito, T. Motohiro, Appl. Phys. Express 1 (2008) 041201.
- [5] R. Klenk, J. Klaer, R. Scheer, M.Ch. Lux-Steiner, I. Luck, N. Meyer, U. Rühle, Thin Solid Films 480 (2005) 509.
- [6] R. Takei, H. Tanino, S. Chichibu, H. Nakanishi, J. Appl. Phys. 79 (1996) 2793.
- [7] L. Calvo-Barrio, A. Pérez-Rodríguez, J. Alvarez-García, A. Romano-Rodríguez, B. Barcones, J.R. Morante, K. Siemer, I. Luck, R. Klenk, R. Scheer, Vacuum 1–2 (2001) 315.
- [8] J. Álvarez-García, A. Pérez-Rodríguez, A. Romano-Rodríguez, L. Calvo-Barrio, B. Barcones, J.R. Morante, K. Siemer, I. Luck, R. Klenk, Thin Solid Films 387 (1–2) (2001) 219, 29.
- [9] V. Izquierdo-Roca, A. Shavel, E. Saucedo, S. Jaime-Ferrer, J. Álvarez-García, A. Cabot, A. Pérez-Rodríguez, V. Bermudez, J.R. Morante Sol. Energy Mater. Solar Cells, (2010) [doi:10.1016/j.solmat.2010.11.014](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.solmat.2010.11.014).
- [10] P.A. Fernandes, P.M.P. Salomé, A.F. da Cunha, Thin Solid Films 517 (2009) 2519.
- [11] P.A. Fernandes, P.M.P. Salomé, A.F. da Cunha, Semicond. Sci. Technol. 24 (2009) 105013.
- [12] P.A. Fernandes, P.M.P. Salomé, A.F. da Cunha, J. Phys. D: Appl. Phys. 43 (2010) 215403.
- [13] J. Malaquias, P.A. Fernandes, P.M.P. Salomé, A.F. da Cunha, Thin Solid Films, (2011), [doi:10.1016/j.tsf.2011.01.393](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.tsf.2011.01.393), in press.
- [14] S. Schorr, Thin Solid Films 515 (2007) 5985.
- [15] International Centre for Diffraction Data reference 04-005-0388 (CZTS), 01-089-4714 (tetragonal-Cu₂SnS₃), 01-089-2877 (cubic-Cu₂SnS₃), 04-006-0807 (cubic-ZnS).
- [16] P.M.P. Salomé, J. Malaquias, P.A. Fernandes, A.F. da Cunha, J. Phys. D: Appl. Phys. 43 (2010) 345501.
- [17] M. Himmrich, H. Haeuseler, Spectrochem. Acta 47A (7) (1991) 933.
- [18] M. Altosaar, J. Raudoja, K. Timmo, M. Danilson, M. Grossberg, J. Krustok, E. Mellikov, Phys. Status Solidi (a). 205 (2008) 167.
- [19] S.J. Sandoval, D. Yang, R.F. Frindt, J.C. Irwin, Phys. Rev. B 44 (8) (1991) 3955.
- [20] P.A. Fernandes, P.M.P. Salomé, A.F. da Cunha, B.-A. Schubert, Thin Solid Films (2011), [doi:10.1016/j.tsf.2010.12.035](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.tsf.2010.12.035).
- W.G. Nilsen, Phys. Rev. 182 (1969) 838.
- [22] S.Price. Louise, P.Parkin. Ivan, M.E.Hardy. Amanda, J.H.Clark. Robin, Chem. Mater. 11 (1999) 1792.
- [23] G.Munce. Carolyn, K.Parker. Gretel, A.Holt. Stephen, A.Hope. Gregory, Colloids Surf. A: Physicochem. Eng. Aspects 295 (2007) 152.